UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,533	02/05/2002	Robert H. Dahla	Robert H. Dahla CB-11-1 9992 EXAMINER	
	7590 06/22/200 E CORPORATION	7		
680 VAQUERO			PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F	
SUNNYVALE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3739	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

intel prop@arthrocare.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	10/068,533	DAHLA ET AL.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Peffley	3739			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Michael Peffley.	(3)				
(2) <u>Brian Szymczak</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 13 June 2007.					
ype: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Pending</u> .					
dentification of prior art discussed: <i>Edwards et al (5,507,743)</i> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was eached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims wable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims wable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPLICANT IS ODAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO			
·					
•					
	MICHAEI PRIMARY	L PEFFLEY EXAMINER			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Fyaminer's sign	ature if required			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative indicated the intent to file an RCE with language that would distinguish the claims from the Edwards et al reference by reciting that RF energy was delivered from the electrodes to fluid surrounding the electrodes and then to tissue, which would distinguish from the Edwards et al reference that has the electrodes inserted directly into tissue. The examiner reminded that the specific language would need to be reveiwed, particularly since Edwards et al does disclose fluid delivery through the electrodes. Further, since the claims are device claims, the claims must distinguish structurally, not just with the recited intended use, since the Edwards et al device could possibly be used without being inserted into tissue.